

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

United States Courts
Southern District of Texas
ENTERED

APR 13 2005

Michael N. Milby, Clerk of Court

In re ENRON CORPORATION SECURITIES
LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARK NEWBY, et al., Individually and On
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ENRON CORP., ET AL.,

Defendants.

THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY
OF CALIFORNIA, et al., Individually and
On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

KENNETH L. LAY, et al.,

Defendants.

PAMELA M. TITLE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

ENRON CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED
CREDITORS OF ENRON CORP.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

MDL-1446

CIVIL ACTION NO. H-01-3624
CONSOLIDATED CASES

CLASS ACTION

CIVIL ACTION NO. H-01-3913

CIVIL ACTION NO. H-04-0091

ANDREW S. FASTOW, MICHAEL J. KOPPER, BEN GLISAN, JR., RICHARD B. M. BUY, RICHARD A. CAUSEY, JEFFREY K. SKILLING, KENNETH L. LAY, JEFFREY MCMAHON, JAMES V. DERRICK,, JR., KRISTINA M. MORDAUNT, KATHY LYNN, ANNE YUAEGER-PATEL, ARTHUR ANDERSEN, LLP, and CARL FASTOW, AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE FASTOW FAMILY FOUNDATION,

Defendants.

ELAINE L. CHAO, SECRETARY OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

Plaintiff,

VS.

ENRON CORP., ET AL.,,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. H-03-2257
(Consolidated with H-01-3913)

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re
ENRON CORP, et al.,

Debtors.

ENRON CORP., ENRON NORTH AMERICA CORP., ENRON NATURAL GAS MARKETING CORP., ENRON BROADBAND SERVICES, INC., ENRON ENERGY SERVICES, INC.; ENRON DEVELOPMENT FUNDING, LTD.,

Plaintiffs

v.

CITIGROUP INC., ET AL.,

Defendants

Chapter 11
Case No. 01-16034 (AJG)

Jointly administered

Adversary Proceeding
No. 03-09266 (AJG)

ORDER

Pending before the Courts is the Motion of the Lead Plaintiff, Certain Private Action Plaintiffs, Defendants Lay, Skilling and Certain Other Officer Defendants, and the Financial-Institution Defendants to Amend the Deposition Protocol Order to Add a Second Extended-Time Witness Slot Per Day ("Motion," Instrument No. 3289). The Courts have considered the oppositions to the Motion and heard arguments of counsel at the hearing held April 11, 2005.

The Courts believe that this relatively minor amendment to the Deposition Protocol will give needed flexibility to the scheduling of extended time witnesses before a crisis situation has been reached, but admonishes the parties to use the flexibility wisely because it is unlikely that further amendments of this kind will be permitted. Accordingly, it is hereby


ORDERED that the Motion (Instrument No. 3289) is **GRANTED**. It is further

ORDERED that the Deposition Protocol Order dated March 11, 2004, as amended from time to time, be further modified so that Section IV, paragraph 3 is amended to provide that: "No more than two Extended-Time Witness deposition shall be taken on any one day; two Extended-Time Witnesses designated by the Deposition Scheduling Committee as being affiliated with the same party shall not be taken on the same day absent that party's consent."

SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this 12th day of April, 2005.


MELINDA HARMON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SIGNED at New York, New York, this 12th day of April, 2005.


ARTHUR J. GONZALEZ
United States Bankruptcy